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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

1.1.1 Construction of the Forth Replacement Crossing project was authorised with the Bill for the Forth Crossing Act 2011 being passed by the Scottish Parliament on 15 December 2010 and subsequently receiving Royal Assent on 20 January 2011. The Policy Memorandum which was produced for the Bill advised that the project would include an Intelligent Transport System (ITS) and that the powers to operate the ITS would be pursued by means of existing legislation. The ITS will use technology and infrastructure provided as part of the project to manage the flow of traffic to reduce congestion and increase safety and will operate over the full length of the project from Halbeath to Newbridge.

1.1.2 Construction of the project was split into three separate contracts. The Principal Contract, encompassing the Queensferry Crossing and its new connecting road infrastructure is to open to traffic in spring 2017. The Fife ITS contract, located between M90 Junction 3 (Halbeath) and M90 Junction 1 (Admiralty), and M9 Junction 1A contract, located between Scotstoun Interchange and M9 Junction 1 (Newbridge), are complete and opened to traffic in December 2012 and February 2013 respectively.

1.1.3 In support of the previously completed contracts, the Scottish Ministers made regulations in May 2012 and December 2012 to enable implementation of mandatory variable speed limits, and actively managed hard shoulders that permit the use of sections of the M90 and M9 southbound hard shoulder by buses and other permitted vehicles. Consultation on the 2012 Regulations was undertaken from January to April 2012 and from August to November 2012.

1.1.4 The 2016 Regulations will be the third and final of a series of regulations to be put in place using the existing powers in the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, and will give the Scottish Ministers the powers to operate the ITS, including mandatory variable speed limits on the new M90/A90 located between the Admiralty Junction and Scotstoun Interchange. The regulations will also provide the powers required to operate the hard shoulders on the Queensferry Crossing and its approaching slip roads as bus lanes. The hard shoulder will only be made available for use in this manner during times when the Forth Road Bridge is closed to high sided vehicles, and buses permitted to use the facility will be limited to those with more than 23 seats.

1.1.5 Mandatory variable speed limits help keep traffic moving by controlling the flow of vehicles when a road is becoming congested. As traffic levels increase, lower speed limits reduce the build-up of traffic and resulting negative effects on traffic flow. Mandatory variable speed limits will be indicated by means of signing above the road provided on gantries or signing in the verge.
1.1.6 If the Forth Road Bridge is closed to high sided vehicles, it is intended that the hard shoulders associated with the Queensferry Crossing and its approaching slip roads operate as bus lanes. The size of vehicle permitted to use the bus lane will be the same as that currently permitted to use the hard shoulders on the M90 and M9, buses that can carry more than 23 seated passengers. The Variable Message Signs (VMS) associated with the ITS, which are mounted to the overhead gantries and at the roadside, will advise drivers when the bus lane is being operated on the Queensferry Crossing and its approaches.

1.1.7 Regulations made under section 17 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 are necessary to allow operation of the variable mandatory speed limits and the actively managed hard shoulder bus lanes.

1.2 CONSULTATION ON THE REGULATIONS

1.2.1 It was necessary to carry out consultation in accordance with section 134(2) of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.

1.2.2 A formal written consultation was undertaken with 33 relevant organisations. The consultation was also made available to the public on the Scottish Government and Transport Scotland websites. The consultation period was 12 weeks, commencing on 31 March 2016 and ending on 23 June 2016. The consultation information and list of organisations consulted is included in Appendix A of this report.

1.2.3 The consultation information included a summary of the mandatory variable speed limits and the actively managed hard shoulder bus lane proposals for the Queensferry Crossing and its slip road approaches, upon which comments were invited.
2. CONSULTATION FEEDBACK

2.1 SUMMARY OF RESPONSES

2.1.1 Fourteen responses were received to the consultation. Five of these were from organisations and nine were from individuals. All respondents indicated that their responses could be made available in the Scottish Government library and be published. An analysis of the responses is included in Appendix B of this report.

2.1.2 The respondents included a local authority, a local authority councillor, a sustainable transport organisation, a public transport organisation, the police, a motorcycle lobby group and seven members of the public.

2.2 DESCRIPTION OF INFORMATION AND VIEWS OBTAINED

2.2.1 Of the responses received to the consultation on the 2016 Regulations, most were generally supportive of what is proposed.

2.2.2 On the matter of mandatory variable speed limits, whilst the majority of respondents did voice support for their implementation, a need for the enforcement of the posted speed limit was highlighted in a number of the comments received.

2.2.3 In respect of the Queensferry Crossing and the use of its hard shoulders as bus lanes during Forth Road Bridge high sided vehicle closures, three respondents sought a relaxation of the proposed restrictions such that motorcycles could utilise the facility. One respondent sought a relaxation in the size of bus permitted to utilise the facility, so to align with the 2012 Regulations for M90 and M9 actively managed hard shoulders. One respondent objected to the proposal on safety grounds sighting that the hard shoulder should be utilised by broken down vehicles and the emergency services only. A further respondent also commented on the operational safety of the facility having noted that all vehicles may be recovered to the hard shoulder, and sought clarification on: the measures to be put in place to warn buses of the road conditions ahead in the event of the hard shoulder being occupied; the speed limit to be implemented in such a scenario; and, the bus lane enforcement strategy to be implemented.

2.2.4 Referring to the Summary of the Provisions document issued as part of the consultation (as contained in Appendix A), it was advised that the development of the proposals has been subject to scrutiny by a Safety Management Steering Group, which included officials from Transport Scotland’s safety, standards and network operations sections and representatives of the Forth Replacement Crossing project team.

2.2.5 Whilst not forming part of the consultation on the regulations, comments were also received on the intended operation of the Forth Road Bridge as part of a public transport corridor. Two respondents sought use of the bridge by all motorcycles irrespective of engine capacity and a further respondent highlighted differences in the traffic restrictions to be imposed when compared to bus lanes operated by the City of Edinburgh Council.
2.3 COMMENT ON THE INFORMATION AND VIEWS OBTAINED AND DECISIONS TAKEN

2.3.1 All of the feedback received from consultation process was considered by Transport Scotland and responded to.

2.3.2 With due consideration having been given to the views of those who responded, the Scottish Ministers have not deemed it necessary to amend the content of the 2016 Regulations. However, and with specific reference to the operation of the hard shoulder on the Queensferry Crossing and its approaching slip roads as a bus lane, the Scottish Ministers have committed to monitor the effectiveness of this facility, making any necessary amendments to the 2016 Regulations to cater for buses that can carry a different number of passengers, including all buses, if it can be demonstrated that it will be safe and effective to do so.

2.3.3 Whilst not applicable to the consultation on the 2016 Regulations, it is acknowledged that the consultation process has generated comments on the traffic restrictions to be placed on the Forth Road Bridge as part of a wider public transport corridor. Where such comments have been made, consultees have been advised that these will be considered in the finalising of the separate Forth Road Bridge (and approach roads) traffic regulation order, which will be subject to separate statutory consultation.
3. NEXT STEPS

3.1.1 Having considered the comments received in response to the consultation, the Scottish Ministers intend to make the regulations and lay them before the Scottish Parliament in early 2017 with the regulations coming in to force in time for the completion of the project.
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Major Transport Infrastructure Projects
Buchanan House, 58 Port Dundas Road,
Glasgow G4 0HF
Direct Line: 01383 421 324
Charles.Copland@transport.gov.scot

Date:
31 March 2016

Dear Consultee

M90/A90 Trunk Road (Admiralty Interchange to Scotstoun) (Variable Speed Limits and Actively Managed Hard Shoulder) Regulations 2016

I write to inform you of the proposal to make Regulations under section 17 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 regarding the M90/A90 Trunk Road (Admiralty Interchange to Scotstoun) (Variable Speed Limits and Actively Managed Hard Shoulder) Regulations 2016 and to invite comments. These Regulations will come into force for the opening of the Queensferry Crossing.

The following documents are included in this pack:
- Letter to consultees
- Background note
- Respondent Information Form (RiF)
- List of consultees

These documents can also be found at: https://consult.scotland.gov.uk/transport-scotland/m90-a90-trunk-road

The regulations will allow implementation of the following measures:

Mandatory variable speed limits on the M90 between Admiralty Junction and the new Queensferry Junction to the south of the Queensferry Crossing; and

Mandatory variable speed limits on the A90 between the new Queensferry Junction to the south of the Queensferry Crossing and Dalmeny; and

Mandatory variable speed limits on the northbound M90 from Masterton Junction to the end of the ITS system already put in place; and

An actively managed hard shoulder on the M90 between Ferrytoll Junction and the new Queensferry Junction, including across the Queensferry Crossing, to be used by certain buses if the Forth Road Bridge is closed to such vehicles during the periods of high winds.

www.transport.gov.scot
A more detailed summary of the proposals and the process to respond to a consultation are attached and I would welcome your comments.

I would welcome any comments by noon on Thursday 23 June 2016.

This consultation package has also been published on Transport Scotland website.

These Regulations support the Forth Replacement Crossing Project, further information on the project can be found at www.forthreplacementcrossing.info

Yours sincerely

CHARLES COZENS

www.transport.gov.scot
BACKGROUND TO THE REGULATIONS

The proposed M90/A90 Trunk Road (Admiralty Interchange to Scotstoun) (Variable Speed Limits and Actively Managed Hard Shoulder) Regulations 2016 will regulate the use of that part of the M90 and A90 Trunk Roads which are being constructed and improved as part of the Forth Replacement Crossing project.

Construction of the Forth Replacement Crossing project was authorised with the Bill for the Forth Crossing Act 2011 being passed by the Scottish Parliament on 15th December 2010 and subsequently receiving Royal Assent on 20th January 2011. Consultation on the project was previously undertaken in 2009 as part of the consultations required for the Bill. The Policy Memorandum, which was produced for the Bill, advised that the project would include an Intelligent Transport System (ITS) and that the powers to operate the ITS would be pursued by means of existing legislation. Paragraphs 17 and 23 of the Policy Memorandum which was produced for the Bill are of particular relevance to the 2016 Regulations and are reproduced below for ease of reference.

17. To enable the proposed scheme to operate effectively, orders, such as traffic regulation orders in respect of the operation of the Intelligent Transport Systems and public transport links, will be required. These orders will be pursued by means of existing legislation.

23. In preference to increasing the extent of road construction and refurbishment, Intelligent Transport System (ITS) technology will be deployed along the route from the M90 Halbeath Junction over the crossing to the M9. This will improve traffic flow, reduce congestion and improve road safety. ITS can operate on roads under existing legislation through the application of traffic orders made by the Scottish Ministers and therefore the Bill only seeks to acquire the land and undertake the works necessary to provide the physical apparatus for the system. To facilitate the operation of ITS and provide for a single authority to maintain control over the full extent of road linking the M9 to the M90 the Bill provides for the transfer of local authority roads to the Scottish Ministers.

The 2016 Regulations will be the third and final of a series of regulations to be put in place to give Ministers the powers to operate the ITS and are to be put in place using existing powers in the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984. The regulations are planned to come in to force for the completion of the Queensferry Crossing and the approach roads to the north and south of the Firth of Forth.

Parts of the project have previously been completed, including the Fife ITS Contract to the north of Admiralty Interchange and the M9 Junction 1a Contract on the M90 (formerly M9 Spur) and M9 between Winchburgh and Newbridge Roundabout. In line with this, the Scottish Ministers previously made regulations in May and December 2012 to support implementation of mandatory variable speed limits and
an actively managed hard shoulder which is permitted to be used by certain buses and other permitted vehicles on parts of the M90 and M9 as follows:

- M90 between Halbeath Junction and Admiralty Interchange;
- M90 (formerly M9 Spur) between Scotstoun Junction at the A90 and M9 Junction 1a; and
- M9 between Winchburgh and Newbridge.

Consultation was undertaken on the 2012 regulations from January to April 2012 and from August to November 2012. Reports are available for those consultations and are available on Transport Scotland’s website at:


To complete the ITS system for the Forth Replacement Crossing Project, the 2016 regulations will be made. It is anticipated that the regulations will be made in August 2016 to allow them to be laid before the Scottish Parliament and come into force before the opening of the Queensferry Crossing.

**PROVISIONS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE REGULATIONS**

**Variable Speed Limits**

Variable speed limits keep traffic moving by controlling the flow of vehicles when a road is congested. As traffic levels increase, lower speed limits reduce the build-up of traffic and resulting negative effects on traffic flow. It is therefore intended that the regulations will make provision for mandatory variable speed limits which will be indicated by means of signing above the road provided on gantries and signing in the verge. This will be the same system that currently operates on the M90 from Halbeath Junction to Admiralty Interchange and from Scotstoun Junction to M9 Junction 1a (formerly the M9 Spur) and also on the M9 between Winchburgh and Newbridge Roundabout. An example of gantry signing is shown in Figure 1.
The mandatory variable speed limits will apply over the following sections of road:

- both carriageways of the M90 between Admiralty Interchange and the new Queensferry Junction to the south of the Queensferry Crossing, including on the Queensferry Crossing; and
- both carriageways of the A90 between the new Queensferry Junction to the south of the Queensferry Crossing and Dalmeny.

The traffic flow and speed on the M90 and A90 will be monitored by detectors in the road and the resulting data will be transmitted to the Traffic Scotland Control Centre to allow the speed limits to be changed if necessary to reflect traffic conditions. CCTV cameras will also be located at each gantry to allow the operation of the road to be monitored by control centre personnel and to support the operation of the mandatory variable speed limits.

Failure to comply with mandatory variable speed limits will be an offence as these regulations are to be made under section 17 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, subsection (4) of which makes it an offence to fail to comply with regulations made under that section.

It is possible that a lower speed limit may be set when vehicles are at or close to a gantry and that those vehicles may not be able to reduce their speed sufficiently to comply with the lower speed limit when passing the gantry. This issue was identified by the Department for Transport when they put similar regulations in place in England and they addressed this in their regulations by not applying the lower speed limit to a vehicle if that vehicle passed below the relevant gantry within ten seconds of the lower speed limit being applied. As was the case for the regulations the Scottish Ministers made in 2012, it is proposed to take the same approach to this issue in the 2016 Regulations.
Use of Actively Managed Hard Shoulder

The Forth Road Bridge will become a public transport corridor and all buses will be permitted to use it. However, during periods of high winds, if the Forth Road Bridge is closed to high sided vehicles certain buses will be permitted to use the hard shoulders on the northbound and southbound M90 on the Queensferry Crossing. This was explained in paragraph 19 of the Policy Memorandum prepared for the Forth Crossing Bill as follows:

19. The new bridge will be a cable-stayed structure with three single column towers, windshielding and a single deck carrying a motorway of two general lanes and hard shoulders in each direction. Windshielding on the new bridge will protect the crossing from the effects of wind and provide a more reliable corridor for wind susceptible vehicles. The hard shoulders on the new bridge will ensure that breakdowns, incidents and any maintenance works do not cause the congestion which is currently experienced on the Forth Road Bridge, which has no hard shoulder. They also provide the flexibility to carry public transport should it be required in the future, carry traffic during maintenance activities and carry buses relocated from the Forth Road Bridge during the periods of high winds.

Whilst the actively managed hard shoulder currently in place on the M90 from Halbeath Junction to Admiralty Interchange, from Scotstoun Junction at the A90 to M9 Junction 1a and on the M9 between Winchburgh and Newbridge Roundabout operates as a full time, permanent bus lane, the hard shoulder on the Queensferry Crossing and the slip road approaches will only operate as a bus lane if the Forth Road Bridge is closed to high sided vehicles.

The vehicles to be permitted to use the hard shoulders on the Queensferry Crossing in these circumstances will be the same as currently permitted to use the hard shoulders on the M90 and M9 – buses that can carry more than 23 seated passengers. Whilst this is different to the vehicles that will be permitted to use the Forth Road Bridge when it is a public transport corridor, it is considered appropriate for the following reasons:

- This will cater for the majority of public transport buses, consistent with the aims of the Forth Replacement Crossing managed crossing strategy;
- Drivers of other vehicles such as private hire vehicles, taxis and other public service vehicles which cannot carry more than 23 seated passengers are less likely to have had safety training put in place as part of the project for driving on an actively managed hard shoulder;
- Vehicles that cannot carry more than 23 seated passengers are less likely to be high sided and therefore less likely to be restricted from crossing the Forth Road Bridge; and
- The vehicles will still be able to cross the Queensferry Crossing as part of the general traffic permitted to use motorways.

The development of the proposals has been subject to scrutiny by a Safety Management Steering Group which included officials from Transport Scotland’s safety, standards and network operations sections and representatives of the Forth Replacement Crossing project team. The Scottish Ministers will monitor the effectiveness of the operation of the hard shoulder as a bus lane and commit to
making any necessary amendments to the 2016 Regulations to cater for buses that can carry a different number of passengers, including all buses, if it can be demonstrated that it will be safe and effective to do so.

Permitted use of the hard shoulder on the Queensferry Crossing by buses will be indicated by the use of signing, as shown in Figure 2 below.

**Figure 2 – Example of signing indicating certain buses may use the Queensferry Crossing hard shoulders**

**Implications for the Motorways Traffic (Scotland) Regulations 1995**

The Motorways Traffic (Scotland) Regulations 1995 ("the 1995 Regulations") regulate the use of all special roads which are motorways. They include general provisions regarding the use of hard shoulders on motorways. The 2016 regulations will therefore require to adjust the application of the general provisions of the 1995 Regulations in relation to the Queensferry Crossing for when buses are to be permitted to use the hard shoulder as a traffic lane.

It is intended that the hard shoulder will be available for use as a bus lane, by permitted buses, only when indicated by signing. The aim is that the existing hard shoulder on the sections of the Queensferry Crossing will become "an actively managed hard shoulder", operating as a hard shoulder under normal circumstances but operating as a bus lane when appropriate signing is displayed.

Other classes of traffic will continue to be permitted to use the hard shoulder when it is being used as a bus lane consistent with the provisions of regulation 14 of the 1995 Regulations. Regulation 14 already makes exceptions in terms of allowing certain traffic to use a hard shoulder. This includes, for example, use by emergency vehicles or by other traffic in emergencies and use by construction traffic involved in work being undertaken adjacent to the motorway. It is not intended that the 2016 Regulations will restrict the usage permitted by regulation 14 of the 1995 Regulations in any way.

**CONSULTATION ON THE 2016 REGULATIONS**

As part of this consultation, The Scottish Ministers welcome consultation comment on the proposal to make the Regulations, and in particular comments in relation to the bus lane provisions. Any specific reference to safety considerations by consultees in support of their comments would also be welcomed. Consultation has been undertaken on previous mandatory variable speed limits and actively managed hard shoulder regulations for the M90 and A823(M) in Fife and the M90 (formerly M9 Spur) and M9. Comments received during the consultation were considered when
finalising those regulations. This is explained in the consultation reports referred to previously.

The Scottish Ministers are content if parties who provided a response to the consultation on the previous regulations in 2012 provide similar feedback to the consultation on the 2016 Regulations. If parties intend to provide similar comments, although not necessary, the Scottish Ministers would be grateful if respondents could consider if there is any additional information that they can provide in support of their comments.

OPERATION OF THE QUEENSFERRY CROSSING AND FORTH ROAD BRIDGE AS A MANAGED CROSSING STRATEGY

Although not part of the provisions that will be included in the 2016 Regulations, the Queensferry Crossing and Forth Road Bridge will operate together as part of a managed crossing strategy developed for the Forth Replacement Crossing project. This is explained in paragraph 22 and 26 of the Policy Memorandum prepared for the Forth Crossing Bill as follows:

22. The new route between South Queensferry Junction and Admiralty Junction, including the crossing, will be classified under the Bill as a motorway. To preserve certain use rights attaching to the A90 to the east, the section between Scotstoun and the new South Queensferry Junction will be a special road to match the requirements of the traffic permitted to use the A90 to the east. It will nevertheless be constructed to a standard suitable for upgrading, if required, at a later date to a motorway. Once new roads are completed non-motorway traffic on the A90 travelling westwards will need to divert to the local network at the South Queensferry Junction.

26. The existing Forth Road Bridge will consequently become a dedicated public transport corridor for buses and taxis together with pedestrians, cyclists and motorcycles (of a capacity of less than 50cc). This will be achieved under other, existing legislation. Pedestrians, cyclists and motorcycles (less than 50cc) will not be permitted on the Forth Replacement Crossing due to its motorway designation. Restrictions will be applied to ensure that only authorised classes of vehicles may access the Forth Road Bridge. Those restrictions will only come into force on the opening of the new bridge and will be taken forward under traffic regulation orders at that time. Accordingly, the Bill makes no provision for the restrictions. Though this public transport corridor will be dedicated initially to buses and taxis it does have the potential, if required, to be adapted to carry additionally a tram-based light rapid transit system and to carry other economically sensitive classes of traffic proscribed from the motorway by arrangement and outwith the peak periods as currently occurs.

The provisions needed to restrict traffic on the Forth Road Bridge as a public transport corridor will be covered by a separate traffic regulation order to be made later in 2016 and will be subject to statutory consultation at that time. However, in view of the joint role of the Queensferry Crossing and Forth Road Bridge as part of a managed crossing strategy, information about traffic proposed to be permitted to use the Forth Road Bridge is provided below. Whilst consultees may wish to provide feedback on the provisions set out below, this is not part of the consultation on the
2016 Regulations and so will not be considered when finalising and making the regulations. Feedback on the provisions will be considered when finalising the separate Forth Road Bridge (and approach roads) traffic regulation order later in 2016.

The Forth Road Bridge was historically operated under byelaws by the Forth Estuary Transport Authority, although conventional traffic legislation could also be applied to the bridge. The Forth Road Bridge Act 2013 provided powers under which the Forth Road Bridge became a trunk road in 2015. The 2013 Act also included a power to revoke the byelaws. The provisions to revoke the byelaws will be made in the separate traffic regulation order later in 2016 and the Forth Road Bridge will be operated under that traffic regulation order upon it coming into force.

Vehicles to be permitted to use the Forth Road Bridge as stated in the Policy Memorandum for the Forth Crossing Bill include buses and taxis. In relation to buses, it is proposed this covers the wide range of public service vehicles that fall within the definition in the Public Passenger Vehicles Act 1981. The definition covers any motor vehicle, other than a tramcar, which:

- being a vehicle adapted to carry more than eight passengers, is used for carrying passengers for hire or reward; or
- being a vehicle not so adapted, is used for carrying passengers for hire or reward at separate fares in the course of a business of carrying passengers

In relation to taxis, it is proposed that this also includes private hire vehicles. Private hire vehicles are permitted to use bus lanes in the City of Edinburgh and are more common in Fife than traditional hackney taxis. It is anticipated this provision will encourage greater use of the Forth Road Bridge.

Whilst the Policy Memorandum for the Forth Crossing Bill explained that traffic permitted to use the Forth Road Bridge would include motorcycles of engine capacity less than 50cc, representations were made by motorcycle organisations in 2015 that this would prevent motorcycles with engine capacity of 125cc driven by learner riders from crossing the Firth of Forth. Following consideration of this, the Scottish Ministers agreed in 2015 that the policy for the Forth Road Bridge would be changed such that motorcycles with engine capacity up to 125cc would be permitted to use the bridge. The traffic regulation order to be made later in 2016 will reflect this commitment.

Agricultural vehicles are currently permitted to use the Forth Road Bridge. As the Queensferry Crossing will be a motorway, certain agricultural vehicles will not be permitted to use it to cross the Firth of Forth. In response to an objection raised during the passage of the Forth Crossing Bill, Transport Scotland advised that agricultural vehicles unable to use the Queensferry Crossing would be able to continue to use the Forth Road Bridge. The traffic regulation order to be made later in 2016 will reflect this commitment.

Pedestrians and cyclists will continue to be permitted to use the dedicated footway and cycle track on the Forth Road Bridge, as at present.
Traffic signs will be used to indicate the restrictions in place for vehicles to use the Forth Road Bridge.

COMMUNICATION OF INFORMATION ON USE OF THE FORTH ROAD BRIDGE AND THE QUEENSFERRY CROSSING HARD SHOULDERS AS A BUS LANE TO DRIVERS

Transport Scotland published a leaflet with information for bus and coach drivers to accompany opening of the bus lanes on the M90, M90 (formerly M9 Spur) and M9 in 2012 and 2013. The leaflet is available on Transport Scotland’s website at http://www.transport.gov.scot/system/files/uploaded_content/documents/projects/Forth%20Replacement%20Crossing/M9_Bus_lane_leaflet__A5_.pdf.

Prior to opening of the Queensferry Crossing, a separate leaflet will be produced which will explain the operation of the Forth Road Bridge as a public transport corridor and the use of the M90 motorway hard shoulders between the Ferrytoll and Queensferry Junctions (encompassing the Queensferry Crossing) by buses if the Forth Road Bridge is closed to high sided vehicles.
RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM

Please Note this form must be returned with your response.

Are you responding as an individual or an organization? (required)

☐ Individual
☐ Organisation

What is your name or your organisation’s name? (required)


What is your phone number?


What is your address?


What is your postcode?


What is your email?


The Scottish Government would like your permission to publish your consultation response. Please indicate your publishing preference: (required)

☐ Publish response with name
☐ Publish response only (anonymous)
☐ Do not publish response

We will share your response internally with other Scottish Government policy teams who may be addressing the issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you again in the future, but we require your permission to do so. Are you content for Scottish Government to contact you again in relation to this consultation exercise?

☐ Yes
☐ No
LIST OF ORGANISATIONS TO BE CONSULTED

All Scottish MEPs and MPs
British Motorcyclists Federation
Confederation of Passenger Transport
COSLA
CTC Scotland
Fife Council
First Bus
Freight Transport Association
Inverkeithing Community Council
Kirkliston Community Council
Lothian Buses
Motorcycle Action Group Scotland
National Farmers Union
Newton Community Council
North Queensferry Community Council
Police Scotland
Queensferry and District Community Council
Road Haulage Association
Rosyth Community Council
Royal Mail
Scottish Ambulance Service
Scottish Citylink Coaches Ltd
Scottish Fire and Rescue Service
Scottish Safety Camera Programme
Spokes Cycle Campaign
Stagecoach
The Automobile Association
The City of Edinburgh Council
The RAC Foundation
The Royal Automobile Club
Traffic Master Travel
Transform Scotland
West Lothian Council
APPENDIX B - CONSULTATION ANALYSIS
CONSULTATION ON THE M90/A90 TRUNK ROAD (ADMIRALTY INTERCHANGE TO SCOTSTOUN) (VARIABLE SPEED LIMITS AND ACTIVELY MANAGED HARD SHOULDER) REGULATIONS 2016

CONSULTATION ANALYSIS

1. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Scottish Ministers thank respondents and those who assisted with the consultation on the M90/A90 Trunk Road (Admiralty Interchange to Scotstoun)(Variable Speed Limits and Actively Managed Hard Shoulder) Regulations 2016.

2. INTRODUCTION

Construction of the Forth Replacement Crossing project was authorised with the Bill for the Forth Crossing Act 2011 being passed by the Scottish Parliament on 15 December 2010 and subsequently receiving Royal Assent on 20 January 2011. The Policy Memorandum which was produced for the Bill advised that the project would include an Intelligent Transport System (ITS) and that the powers to operate the ITS would be pursued by means of existing legislation. The ITS will use technology and infrastructure provided as part of the project to manage the flow of traffic to reduce congestion and increase safety and will operate over the full length of the project from Halbeath to Newbridge.

Construction of the project was split into three separate contracts. The Principal Contract, encompassing the Queensferry Crossing and its new connecting road infrastructure is to open to traffic in spring 2017. The Fife ITS contract, located between M90 Junction 3 (Halbeath) and M90 Junction 1 (Admiralty), and M9 Junction 1A contract, located between Scotstoun Interchange and M9 Junction 1 (Newbridge), are complete and opened to traffic in December 2012 and February 2013 respectively.

In support of the previously completed contracts, the Scottish Ministers made regulations in May 2012 and December 2012 to enable implementation of mandatory variable speed limits, and actively managed hard shoulders that permit the use of sections of the M90 and M9 southbound hard shoulder by buses and other permitted vehicles. Consultation on the 2012 Regulations was undertaken from January to April 2012 and from August to November 2012.

The 2016 Regulations will be the third and final of a series of regulations to be put in place using the existing powers in the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, and will give the Scottish Ministers the powers to operate the ITS, including mandatory variable speed limits on the new M90/A90 located between the Admiralty Junction and Scotstoun Interchange. The regulations will also provide the powers required to operate the hard shoulders on the Queensferry Crossing and its approaching slip roads as bus lanes. The hard shoulder will only be made available for use in this manner during times when the Forth Road Bridge is closed to high sided vehicles, and buses permitted to use the facility will be limited to those with 23 seats or more.

Regulations are necessary to allow operation of the variable mandatory speed limits and the actively managed hard shoulders and consultation on the regulations is required in accordance with the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.

A formal written consultation was undertaken with 33 relevant organisations, as listed in Annex A. The consultation was also made available to the public on the Scottish
Government and Transport Scotland websites. The consultation period was 12 weeks, commencing on 31 March 2016 and ending on 23 June 2016.

The consultation information included a summary of the mandatory variable speed limits and the actively managed hard shoulder bus lane proposals for the Queensferry Crossing and its slip road approaches, upon which comments were invited.

The objectives of the consultation were to identify general support or opposition to the proposals; identify any specific concerns regarding the proposals; and to ensure compliance with the consultation requirements set out in the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.

3. RESPONSES

Fourteen responses were received to the consultation. The list of respondents is provided in Annex B.

Out of the organisations who were issued the consultation information, five (15%) responded. One response was received from a local authority councillor and eight responses where received from members of the public. All respondents indicated that their responses could be made available in the Scottish Government library and published.

The organisations who responded included a local authority, a sustainable transport organisation, a public transport organisation, the police and a motorcycle lobbyist group. The detailed breakdown of respondents is shown in Figure 1.
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Figure 1 - Breakdown of respondents by type

The groups represented by the responses included those with an interest in, or who may use, the roads that would be subject to the variable mandatory speed limits and the actively managed hard shoulder bus lane to be implemented on the Queensferry Crossing and its slip road approaches when the Forth Road Bridge is closed to high sided vehicles.
4. **FINDINGS**

The general response to the proposals covered in the consultation was positive with the variable mandatory speed limits and actively managed hard shoulder proposals welcomed. The overall response to the consultation on the regulations is shown in Figure 2.
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**Figure 2 - Overall responses received to the consultation**

A range of comments were made in conjunction with the positive and neutral comments received. The positive responses generally welcomed the proposed implementation of the mandatory variable speed limits and the actively managed hard shoulder bus lane on the Queensferry Crossing and its approaching slip roads, although some feedback and clarification was sought on the safe operation of the actively managed hard shoulder bus lane. Neutral responses generally welcomed the introduction of mandatory variable speed limits but noted concern over the restrictions to be placed on the use of the actively managed hard shoulder bus lane, given the lesser restrictions proposed on the use of the Forth Road Bridge as part of the public transport corridor. A neutral response was also recorded where a respondents comments were focused solely on the operation of the Forth Road Bridge, which is not a matter that is applicable to the 2016 Regulations. Negative responses were predominantly related to the operation of the actively managed hard shoulder bus lane, with concerns raised over the safe operation of the hard shoulder as a refuge for general traffic and bus only restrictions (23 seats or more) to be placed on the facility. The breakdown of the responses to each of the specific proposals covered by the regulations is provided in Sections 4.1 to 4.5.

Section 4.6 covers comments received on the traffic restrictions proposed for implementation on the Forth Road Bridge.
No negative comments were received in relation to the proposed implementation of variable mandatory speed limits. A neutral response has been recorded where no statement of contentment with the 2016 Regulations, nor a specific positive statement on the implementation of mandatory variable speed limits, has been made by a respondent.

Nine respondents made comment on specific matters relating to the implementation of mandatory variable speed limits. These comments included statements of support, acknowledgements of the benefit that variable mandatory speed limits can bring, suggestions relating to their operation, and proposals for the deployment of speed camera equipment to enforce the posted speed limit. None of the matters raised affect the intended content of the 2016 Regulations and Transport Scotland has responded to all of the consultees in relation to the comments raised.
4.3 Response to Actively Managed Hard Shoulder Bus Lane Proposals

Eight respondents provided comments on the implementation of actively managed hard shoulder bus lanes on the Queensferry Crossing and its approaching slip roads. A neutral response has been recorded where no statement of contentment with the 2016 Regulations, nor a specific positive reference to the actively managed hard shoulder proposal, has been made by the respondent. The comments received on the proposal are covered in Sections 4.3 to 4.5:

4.4 Comments welcoming or supporting the actively managed hard shoulder bus lane

- Supportive of the introduction of the actively managed hard shoulder for use by buses with no recommendations for alteration of the restrictions. – 4 responses

4.5 Actively managed hard shoulder bus lane restrictions

- Supportive with relaxation sought to the proposed restriction on buses permitted to use the actively managed hard shoulder as a bus lane from those that can carry more than 23 seated passengers to those with 8 seats or more. – 1 response
- Relaxation sought to allow use of bus lane by motorcycles. – 1 response

4.6 Operational and Safety considerations

- Concern over the management of the bus lane and how bus operation will be controlled in instances where the hard shoulder is being utilised as a refuge area following a road traffic collision or breakdown; and,
- Clarification sought regarding operational matters, such as self-policing camera enforcement and monitoring. – 1 response

Figure 4 - Responses to the actively managed hard shoulder bus lane proposals

Neutral Response 50%
Positive Response 43%
Negative Response 7%
• Objection to the use of the hard shoulder as a bus lane in its entirety – 1 response.

4.6 Forth Road Bridge public transport corridor restrictions

Whilst not forming part of the consultation on the regulations, comments on the restrictions to be placed on the Forth Road Bridge in its role as part of a public transport corridor included:

• Support for the proposal given the motorway restrictions to be applied to the Queensferry Crossing – 3 response.
• Concern over the limitations of use placed on motorcycles – 2 responses.
• Concern that private hire vehicles will be permitted to use the FRC public transport corridor when the A90 bus lane operated by the City of Edinburgh Council is not permitted for use by such vehicles – 1 response.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The general response to the consultation on the 2016 Regulations was positive with respect of the intended implementation of mandatory variable speed limits, with some supplementary comments provided in respect of the need for speed limit enforcement.

Two respondents to the consultation sought a relaxation to the proposed restriction on the buses permitted to use the actively managed hard shoulder as a bus lane on the Queensferry Crossing and its approaching slip roads. One respondent sought a relaxation to permit buses of 8 seats or more to utilise the facility, with a further respondent seeking a relaxation to allow its use by motorcycles.

Where comments were received in relation to the operation of the actively managed hard shoulder these were related to the safety of the road user, in particular where an incident would require a vehicle to be recovered to the hard shoulder during bus lane operation, and how bus traffic would be managed in such a scenario utilising ITS.

The need for enforcement of both mandatory variable speed limits and actively managed hard shoulder bus lane operation was highlighted in the comments received.

A number of comments were raised on the restrictions proposed in the operation of the Forth Road Bridge as part of a public transport corridor. In response to these comments, respondents were advised that the provisions needed to restrict traffic on the Forth Road Bridge will be covered by a separate traffic regulation order that will be subject to separate statutory consultation.
ANNEX A – LIST OF CONSULTEES

All Scottish MEPs and MPs
British Motorcyclists Federation
Confederation of Passenger Transport
COSLA
CTC Scotland
Fife Council
First Bus
Freight Transport Association
Inverkeithing Community Council
Kirkliston Community Council
Lothian Buses
Motorcycle Action Group Scotland
National Farmers Union
Newton Community Council
North Queensferry Community Council
Police Scotland
Queensferry and District Community Council
Road Haulage Association
Rosyth Community Council
Royal Mail
Scottish Ambulance Service
Scottish Citylink Coaches Ltd
Scottish Fire and Rescue Service
Scottish Safety Camera Programme
Spokes Cycle Campaign
Stagecoach
The Automobile Association
The City of Edinburgh Council
The RAC Foundation
The Royal Automobile Club
Traffic Master Travel
Transform Scotland
West Lothian Council
ANNEX B – LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Mr A. Kinnon
The City of Edinburgh Council
Councillor D. Dempsey
Fife Scottish Omnibuses Ltd (Stagecoach)
Mr J. Bowie
Motorcycle Action Group
Police Scotland
Mr R. Knapman
Mr R. Rankin
Transform Scotland

Note:

Comments were received from a further four respondents who did not wish to be named.